Incorporating Learning and Expected Cost of Change in Prioritizing Features on Agile Projects

> R. Scott Harris<sup>1</sup> and Mike Cohn<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Montana State University–Billings <sup>2</sup>Mountain Goat Software

### **Business value**

- Usual advice to product owners is to prioritize based on "business value"
- But what is business value?
  - Putting the competition out of business?
  - Lowering delivery cost?
  - Increasing short term revenue?
  - Achieving cash-flow breakeven?

1

2

Telling a product owner to "prioritize on business value" offers as much guidance as the president of General Motors ordering a lathe operator to "maximize corporate profits."



#### Copyright Mountain Goat Software, LLC

3

4

# Traditional advice

- Saaty's Analytic Hierarchy Process is often considered "the most promising approach"
  - Involves pairwise comparison of all features
  - Perhaps feasible once at the start of a project
  - Assumes perfect knowledge
- Agile projects incorporate and acknowledge learning and feedback
  - Not feasible every iteration on an agile project











# Useful knowledge

- Comes in a variety of forms
  - About the desirability of a feature
  - About the usability of a feature
  - About the technical feasibility of a feature
- Useful knowledge is knowledge that will affect prioritization of subsequent features
  - Product owner asks herself, "If this feature had been implemented already, would I do anything differently?"



Copyright Mountain Goat Software, LLC

9

### <u>Guideline 3</u>

Incorporate new learning often, but only to decide what to do next

- Learning is a continuous process
  - Agile projects acknowledge that all learning cannot be put upfront (as sequential projects try)
- So, decision-making about priorities is simplified
  - "Now" vs."Not Now"
  - Those not done "Now" are reevaluated next iteration
- Supports agile preference for short iterations



11

# Practical application

- Our advice to clients:
  - Perform rough, initial prioritization based on the "business value" of each feature
  - Don't bother prioritizing beyond the next I-3 iterations
  - Think of ECC and knowledge generated as sliders
    - Move items forward or back in the prioritization

![](_page_5_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

13

### Some examples • We've used this to support early selection of: • A particular application server • Features to test designs for a security framework • Features that confirm main metaphors of the user interface design • We've used this to defer decisions with high ECC that generate little new knowledge Choosing among three client technologies Copyright Mountain Goat Software, LLC

# Conclusions

- More useful than advice to prioritize on "business value"
- Instructing product owners to
  - consider relative changes in Expected Cost of Change (ECC)
  - amount and significance of knowledge generated

leads to better decisions

- Guideline-based approach is easy
  - Keeps focus on "what one thing should we do next" rather than "what is full set of priorities"
- More iterative approach to prioritizing acknowledges learning and fits with agile approach better

![](_page_7_Picture_9.jpeg)

Copyright Mountain Goat Software, LLC

15