





| Choosing your approach |                   |                   |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                        | Expert<br>Opinion | User<br>Interview |  |  |  |  |
| Kano analysis          | ~                 | ~                 |  |  |  |  |
| Theme screening        | ~                 |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Theme scoring          | ~                 |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Relative weighting     | ~                 |                   |  |  |  |  |





# Surveying users

- To assess whether a feature is baseline, linear, or exciting we can:
  - Sometimes guess
  - Or survey a small set of users (20-30)
- We ask two questions
  - A functional question
    - How do you feel if a feature is present?
  - And a dysfunctional question
    - How do you feel if that feature is absent?









# What to include

- All of the baseline features
  - By definition, these must be present
- Some amount of linear features
- But leaving room for at least a few exciters



# <section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

11

© Mountain Goat Software, LLC

|  |               |         |         | Т       | hem                   | es      |         |         |
|--|---------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Selection Crite                                  | eria          | Theme A | Theme B | Theme C | <b>Baseline Theme</b> | Theme E | Theme F | Theme G |
| Importance to exist                              | ing customers | +       | +       | -       | 0                     | -       | +       | 0       |
| Competitiveness wi                               | th ABC Corp.  | +       | -       | 0       | 0                     | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| Starts us integrating                            | product lines | +       | 0       | 0       | 0                     | +       | -       | +       |
| Generates revenue                                | in Q2         | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0                     | +       | 0       | +       |
| + = better than<br>0 = same as<br>= = worse than | Net score     | 3       | 0       | -1      | 0                     | 1       | 0       | 2       |
|  | Rank          |         | 4       | 5       | 4                     | 3       | 4       | 2       |
|  | Continue?     | Y       | N       | N       | Y                     | Y       | Ν       | Y       |



### Theme scoring: an example Theme A Theme B Theme C Weight Rating Rating Rating Weighted Weighted Weighted Selection Criteria Score Score Score Importance to existing cust. .25 3 0.75 0.25 Т 4 1.00 Competitive. with ABC .10 2 0.20 3 0.30 3 0.30 Starts us integrating... .15 3 0.45 4 0.60 4 0.60 Generates Q2 revenue .50 5 2.50 2 1.00 3 1.50 3.90 2.15 3.40 Net score 2 Rank Continue? Yes No Yes







| Ar | An example with weights       |                         |                  |                  |             |               |          |              |          |  |
|----|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|----------|--------------|----------|--|
|    |                               | Weight→                 | 2                | T                |             |               |          |              |          |  |
|    |                               |                         | Relative Benefit | Relative Penalty | Total Value | Value Percent | Estimate | Cost Percent | Priority |  |
|    | es                            | More investment choices | 8                | 6                | 22          | 41            | 64       | 44           | 93       |  |
|    | Jeme                          | Portfolio rebalancing   | 9                | 2                | 20          | 38            | 40       | 27           | 4        |  |
|    | Ē                             | Comply with new law     | Т                | 9                | 11          | 21            | 42       | 29           | 72       |  |
|    |                               | Total                   |                  |                  | 53          | 100           | 146      | 100          |          |  |
|    | © Mountain Goat Software, LLC |                         |                  |                  |             |               |          |              |          |  |









|      | I Jos      | c would | d you p    |                                   |
|------|------------|---------|------------|-----------------------------------|
|      | Proje      | ect A   | Proje      | ct B                              |
| Year | Investment | Return  | Investment | Return                            |
| 0    | \$1,000    |         | \$1,000    |                                   |
| Ì    |            | \$200   |            | \$3,000                           |
| 2    |            | \$300   |            | \$500                             |
| 3    |            | \$500   |            | \$300                             |
| 4    |            | \$3,000 |            | \$200                             |
| 5    |            | \$0     |            | \$0                               |
| 5    |            | \$0     | © Ma       | <b>\$0</b><br>Duntain Goat Softwa |

<section-header><text><text><image><image>







### NPV example Assuming 12% annual discount rate (3% / quarter) Cash Discounted Running (|+i)<sup>-t</sup> Quarter Cash Flow Total flow -200 -200 0 1.000 -200 0.971 -783 -600 -583 I 2 -689 0.943 100 94 0.915 275 -414 3 300 0.888 444 4 500 30





### **Comparing NPVs** Highest NPV brings the most present-value dollars to the company NPV Theme **Scalability** \$2,100 Gift registry \$1,253 \$78. Comparing NPVs can be Ad hoc reporting misleading. What if: \$38 Pay by invoice • "Pay by invoice" requires a \$5 investment • "Scalability" requires \$50,000? © Mountain Goat Software, LLC





## Return as a percentage

- Rather than expressing returns in dollars, we'd like to express return as a percentage
  - Allows for direct comparisons
- NPV = how much money a project will return
- ROI = how quickly an investment will grow





# Remember this table?

| Quarter | Cash flow | Discounted Cash<br>Flow (3%) | Discounted Cash<br>Flow (6%) |
|---------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 0       | -200      | -200                         | -200                         |
| I       | -600      | -583                         | -783                         |
| 2       | 100       | 94                           | -689                         |
| 3       | 300       | 275                          | -414                         |
| 4       | 500       | 444                          | 30                           |
| Total   | 100       | 30                           | -29                          |

 IRR gives us the discount rate at which we don't care whether or not we do the project

• We don't make \$30; we don't lose \$29; we break even





# <section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>



# Payback period

- The amount of time before an initial investment is paid back
  - I loan you \$5.You pay me back \$1/week.The payback period is 5 weeks.

| Quarter | Cash Flow | Running Total |                 |
|---------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| 0       | -200      | -200          |                 |
|         | -200      | -400          | 1 mario         |
| 2       | 100       | -300          | Payback period  |
| 3       | 300       | 0             | is 3 quai totot |
| 4       | 500       | 500           |                 |





# Discounted payback period

 Discount future cash flows and determine when the investment is paid back

| Quarter | Cash<br>Flow | (   +i) <sup>-t</sup><br>i=3% | Discounted<br>Cash Flow | Running<br>Total |
|---------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 0       | -200         | 1.000                         | -200                    | -200             |
| ]       | -200         | 0.971                         | -194                    | -394             |
| 2       | 100          | 0.943                         | 94                      | -300             |
| 3       | 300          | 0.915                         | 275                     | -25              |
| 4       | 500          | 0.888                         | 444                     | 419              |









## A relatively simple way to model

- Consider your revenue sources and group them
- These four often work well:
  - I. New revenue
  - 2. Incremental revenue
  - 3. Retained revenue
  - 4. Operation efficiency





# <section-header> Description of the second seco



# <section-header> Operational efficiency Most applicable for internally used software But also a factor on commercial products Anything that takes a long time Or will take a long time as the company grows Anything that improves accuracy or reduces rework An eCommerce site with third-party sellers. It takes 2 hours of manual time to add each seller. Our commercial software has usability issues, we get a lot of tech support calls. We spend 16 hours training new employees how to use our internal software



# Facts about WebPayroll

- Average customer pays \$400/year in fees
- Overnight delivery will appeal to smaller customers, paying an average of \$200/year
- We think we'll make another \$100/year per customer that uses the over night service
- Average new customer is then worth \$300/ year (\$200+\$100), or \$75/quarter
- New feature will take four months to deliver



| <ul> <li>WebPayroll: new revenue</li> <li>Sales says 50 new customers/quarter this year; 100 next year</li> </ul> |         |                  |                         |                             |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | Quarter | New<br>Customers | Revenue per<br>Customer | New Revenue                 |  |  |  |  |
|   |         | 0                | \$0                     | \$0                         |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2       | 50               | \$50                    | \$2,500                     |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3       | 50               | \$75                    | \$3,750                     |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4       | 50               | \$75                    | \$3,750                     |  |  |  |  |
|   | 5       | 100              | \$75                    | \$7,500                     |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6       | 100              | \$75                    | \$7,500                     |  |  |  |  |
|   | 7       | 100              | \$75                    | \$7,500                     |  |  |  |  |
|   | 8       | 100              | \$75                    | \$7,500                     |  |  |  |  |
|   |         |                  |                         | © Mountain Goat Software, L |  |  |  |  |

## WebPayroll: incremental revenue

• We estimate we'll sign up 100 existing members per quarter until we have 400

| Quarter | Customers | Revenue per<br>Customer | Incremental<br>Revenue |
|---------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|
|         | 0         | \$0                     | \$0                    |
| 2       | 100       | \$16                    | \$1,600                |
| 3       | 200       | \$25                    | \$5,000                |
| 4       | 300       | \$25                    | \$7,500                |
| 5       | 400       | \$25                    | \$7,500                |
| 6       | 400       | \$25                    | \$10,000               |
| 7       | 400       | \$25                    | \$10,000               |
| 8       | 400       | \$25                    | \$10,000               |



© Mountain Goat Software, LLC

# WebPayroll: retained revenue

Sales say we'll retain 10 customers per quarter

| Quarter | Retained<br>Customers | Total<br>Retained | Revenue<br>per<br>Customer | Retained<br>Revenue |
|---------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
|         | 10                    | 10                | \$100                      | \$1,000             |
| 2       | 10                    | 20                | \$100                      | \$2,000             |
| 3       | 10                    | 30                | \$100                      | \$3,000             |
| 4       | 10                    | 40                | \$100                      | \$4,000             |
| 5       | 10                    | 50                | \$100                      | \$5,000             |
| 6       | 10                    | 60                | \$100                      | \$6,000             |
| 7       | 10                    | 70                | \$100                      | \$7,000             |
| 8       | 10                    | 80                | \$100                      | \$8,000             |

51

# **Operational efficiency**

• We can avoid hiring a new payroll clerk a year from now at a fully burdened labor cost of \$30,000/year.

| Quarter | Payroll Clerks<br>Not Needed | Fully Burdened<br>Labor Cost | Operational<br>Efficiencies |
|---------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|         | 0                            | \$0                          | \$0                         |
| 2       | 0                            | \$0                          | \$0                         |
| 3       | 0                            | \$0                          | \$0                         |
| 4       | 0                            | \$0                          | \$0                         |
| 5       | 1                            | \$7,500                      | \$7,500                     |
| 6       | L I                          | \$7,500                      | \$7,500                     |
| 7       |                              | \$7,500                      | \$7,500                     |
| 8       |                              | \$7,500                      | \$7,500                     |



# All the numbers for WebPayroll

| Q | Dev Cost  | New<br>Revenue | Incr.<br>Revenue | Retained<br>Revenue | Oper.<br>Efficiencie | Net Cash<br>Flow |
|---|-----------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 1 | -\$90,000 | \$0            | \$0              | \$1,000             | \$0                  | -\$89,000        |
| 2 | -\$30,000 | \$2,500        | \$1,600          | \$2,000             | \$0                  | -\$23,900        |
| 3 |           | \$3,750        | \$5,000          | \$3,000             | \$0                  | \$11,750         |
| 4 |           | \$3,750        | \$7,500          | \$4,000             | \$0                  | \$15,250         |
| 5 |           | \$7,500        | \$7,500          | \$5,000             | \$7,500              | \$27,500         |
| 6 |           | \$7,500        | \$10,000         | \$6,000             | \$7,500              | \$31,000         |
| 7 |           | \$7,500        | \$10,000         | \$7,000             | \$7,500              | \$32,000         |
| 8 |           | \$7,500        | \$10,000         | \$8,000             | \$7,500              | \$33,000         |
| Ĉ |           |                |                  |                     | © Mountain Go        | at Software, LLC |

53

| Quarter | Net Cash<br>Flow | ( +i) <sup>-t</sup> | Present<br>Value |
|---------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Ĩ.      | -\$89,000        | 0.971               | -\$86,419        |
| 2       | -\$23,900        | 0.943               | -\$22,538        |
| 3       | \$11,750         | 0.915               | \$10,751         |
| 4       | \$15,250         | 0.888               | \$13,542         |
| 5       | \$27,500         | 0.863               | \$23,733         |
| 6       | \$31,000         | 0.837               | \$25,947         |
| 7       | \$32,000         | 0.813               | \$26,016         |
| 8       | \$33,000         | 0.789               | \$26,037         |
|         |                  | NPV (12%) =         | \$43,106         |

| M | VebPay | roll -    | ROI                           |  |
|---|--------|-----------|-------------------------------|--|
|   |        | Α         |                               |  |
|   |        | 0         |                               |  |
|   | 2      | -\$89,000 | +irr(A1:A9,.10)               |  |
|   | 3      | -\$23,900 |                               |  |
|   | 4      | \$11,750  |                               |  |
|   | 5      | \$15,250  |                               |  |
|   | 6      | \$27,500  | 6%                            |  |
|   | 7      | \$31,000  |                               |  |
|   | 8      | \$32,000  |                               |  |
|   | 9      | \$33,000  |                               |  |
|   |        |           | © Mountain Goat Software. LLC |  |

## WebPayroll - Payback Period

| Quarter | Net Cash Flow        | Running Total |
|---------|----------------------|---------------|
|         | -\$89,000            | -\$89,000     |
| 2       | -\$23,900            | -\$112,900    |
| 3       | \$11,750             | -\$101,150    |
| 4       | \$15,250             | -\$85,900     |
| 5       | \$27,500             | -\$58,400     |
| 6       | \$31,000             | -\$27,400     |
| 7       | \$32,000             | \$4,600       |
| 8       | \$33,000             | \$37,600      |
| Paybac  | k period = 7 quarter | s             |



© Mountain Goat Software, LLC

| VebPay  | yroll - D        | iscount                   | ed Payb          | ack Peri            |
|---------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Quarter | Net Cash<br>Flow | ( +i) <sup>-t</sup><br>3% | Present<br>Value | Running<br>Total    |
| I.      | -\$89,000        | 0.971                     | -\$86,419        | -\$86,419           |
| 2       | -\$23,900        | 0.943                     | -\$22,538        | -\$108,957          |
| 3       | \$11,750         | 0.915                     | \$10,751         | -\$98,206           |
| 4       | \$15,250         | 0.888                     | \$13,542         | -\$84,664           |
| 5       | \$27,500         | 0.863                     | \$23,733         | -\$60,93I           |
| 6       | \$31,000         | 0.837                     | \$25,947         | -\$34,984           |
| 7       | \$32,000         | 0.813                     | \$26,016         | -\$8,968            |
| 8       | \$33,000         | 0.789                     | \$26,037         | \$17,069            |
| Dis     | counted payba    | ck period = 8             | quarters         | Mountain Goat Softw |

Γ

| Compa   | arison | matri   | X    |                          |
|---------|--------|---------|------|--------------------------|
|         | Cost   | NPV     | IRR  | D. Payback<br>(Quarters) |
| Theme A | \$150  | \$448   | 133% | 2                        |
| Theme B | \$192  | \$940   | 172% | 4                        |
| Theme C | \$540  | \$883   | 89%  | 2                        |
| Theme D | \$288  | \$443   | 76%  | 4                        |
| Theme E | \$330  | \$191   | 48%  | 2                        |
| Theme F | \$474  | \$331   | 56%  | 4                        |
| Theme G | \$540  | \$2,519 | 139% | 5                        |
| Theme H | \$300  | \$1,023 | 146% | 2                        |
| Theme I | \$90   | \$747   | 221% | 1                        |
| Theme J | \$180  | \$182   | 65%  | 2                        |
| Theme K | \$450  | (\$104) | 5%   | NA                       |

| Date                   | What  | Where                      |  |
|------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| April 8-9<br>April 10  | Certified ScrumMaster<br>Agile Estimating and Planning              | Dallas                     |  |
| May 12-13              | Certified Scrum Product Owner Denver                                |                            |  |
| June 3-4<br>June 5     | Certified ScrumMaster<br>Agile Estimating and Planning              | Washington,<br>DC (Reston) |  |
| July 29-30<br>July 3 I | Certified ScrumMaster<br>Agile Estimating and Planning La Jolla, CA |                            |  |

www.mountaingoatsoftware.com

© Mountain Goat Software, LLC

